arXiv:1512.07767v1 [cs.DM] 24 Dec 2015

Hangable graphs

Mateusz Miotk, Jerzy Topp

January 15, 2016

Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics
University of Gdańsk, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Abstract. Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a connected graph. The distance $d_G(u, v)$ between vertices u and v in G is the length of a shortest $u - v$ path in G. The eccentricity of a vertex v in G is the integer $e_G(v) = \max\{d_G(v, u)\colon u \in V_G\}$. The diameter of G is the integer $d(G) = \max\{e_G(v)\colon v \in V_G\}$. The periphery of a vertex v of G is the set $P_G(v) = \{u \in V_G\colon d_G(v, u) = e_G(v)\}$, while the periphery of G is the set $P(G) = \{v \in V_G\colon e_G(v) = d(G)\}$. We say that graph G is hangable if $P_G(v) \subseteq P(G)$ for every vertex v of G. In this paper we prove that every block graph is hangable and discuss the hangability of products of graphs.

Keywords: Hangability; Diameter; Block graph
AMS Subject Classification Numbers: 05C05, 05C12, 05C76

1 Introduction

We use [1] and [3] for basic terminology and notations. Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a connected graph. The distance $d_G(u, v)$ between vertices u and v in G is the length of a shortest $u - v$ path in G. The eccentricity $e_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the distance from v to a vertex farthest from v, that is $e_G(v) = \max\{d_G(v, u)\colon u \in V_G\}$. The diameter $d(G)$ of G is the maximum eccentricity of the vertices of G. It follows from these definitions that $d(G) = \max\{d_G(u, v)\colon u, v \in V_G\}$. The periphery of vertex v of G is the set $P_G(v)$ of the vertices farthest from v, $P_G(v) = \{u \in V_G\colon d_G(v, u) = e_G(v)\}$, whereas the periphery $P(G)$ of graph G is the set of vertices having the maximum eccentricity in G, that is $P(G) = \{v \in V_G\colon e_G(v) = d(G)\}$. A connected graph G is said to be self-centered if $P(G) = V_G$. We say that graph G is hangable if $P_G(v) \subseteq P(G)$ for every vertex v of G. Note that graph G is hangable if and only if it has the property: for every three vertices v, u, and w, if u is a vertex farthest from v, and w is a vertex farthest from u, then the distance $d_G(u, w)$ is the diameter of G. Every self-centered graph G is hangable since $P(G) = V_G$ and therefore $P_G(v) \subseteq P(G)$ for every vertex v of G. In particular, every complete graph K_n is hangable. Similarly, every cycle C_n and every n-cube Q_n are hangable. Every complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is also a hangable

graph, as is easy to check. Graph G in Fig. 1 is hangable since $P_G(v) \subseteq P(G)$ for every vertex v of G ($P_G(a) = P_G(b) = P_G(d) = \{e\} \subseteq \{a, e\} = P(G)$ and $P_G(c) = P_G(e) = \{a\} \subseteq \{a, e\} = P(G)$). Graph H in Fig. 1 is not hangable as $P_H(v) \not\subseteq P(H)$ for some vertex v of H ($P_H(b) = P_H(d) = \{a, c, d\} \not\subseteq \{a, d\} = P(H)$).



Figure 1: A hangable graph G and a non-hangable graph H

In this paper we prove that every block graph is hangable and discuss the hangability of three products of graphs.

2 Hangability of block graphs

We begin by recalling that a block graph is a connected graph in which every block (i.e., every maximal 2-connected subgraph) is a complete graph. Hangability of block graphs is ascertained by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Every block graph G is hangable.*

Proof. Let v be any vertex of G, and let G_v be the graph G rooted (hanged) at v. The proof will be complete if we show that $P_G(v) \subseteq P(G)$. To prove this it suffices to show that if $u \in P_G(v)$ and x and y are vertices of G, then $d_G(u, x) \geqslant d_G(x, y)$ or $d_G(u, y) \geqslant d_G(x, y)$.

This is obvious if $x = y$ or x and y belong to the shortest $v - u$ path in G_v. Thus assume that at most one of the vertices x and y belong to the shortest $v - u$ path in G_v, and let a_x (a_y, resp.) be the youngest common ancestor of the vertices u and x (u and y, resp.) in the rooted graph G_v.

First assume that one of the vertices x and y, say x, belongs to the shortest $v - u$ path in G_v. If x ($= a_x$) is not younger than a_y in G_v (see Fig. 2 (a)), then the choice of u implies that $d_G(x, y) \leqslant d_G(x, u)$. If x is younger than a_y (Fig. 2 (b)), then x belongs to the shortest $u - y$ path and therefore $d_G(u, y) = d_G(u, x) + d_G(x, y) \geqslant d_G(x, y)$.

Now assume that none of the vertices x and y belongs to the shortest $v - u$ path in G_v. If a_x is younger than a_y in G_v (Fig. 2 (c)), then $d_G(u, y) = d_G(u, a_x) + d_G(a_x, y) \geqslant d_G(x, a_x) + d_G(a_x, y) = d_G(x, y)$ as $d_G(a_x, u) \geqslant d_G(a_x, x)$. Similarly, $d_G(u, x) \geqslant d_G(x, y)$ if a_y is younger than a_x in G_v. Thus assume $a_x = a_y$. In this case let a_{xy} be the youngest common ancestor of the vertices x and y in G_v. We consider two possible subcases: $a_{xy} \neq a_x = a_y$, and $a_{xy} = a_x = a_y$.

If $a_{xy} \neq a_x = a_y$ and $a_{xy} \in \{x, y\}$, say $a_{xy} = x$, then x belongs to the shortest $u-y$ path and therefore $d_G(u, y) = d_G(u, x)+d_G(x, y) \geqslant d_G(x, y)$. Thus assume $a_{xy} \neq a_x = a_y$ and $a_{xy} \notin \{x, y\}$ (Fig. 2 (d)). Let a and b be the neighbours of a_x which belong to the shortest $u - a_x$ and $a_{xy} - a_x$ paths, respectively. Then, since $d_G(a, u) \geqslant d_G(b, y)$, we have $d_G(u, x) \geqslant d_G(u, a) + d_G(b, x) + 1 \geqslant d_G(y, b) + d_G(b, x) + 1 \geqslant d_G(y, a_{xy}) + d_G(a_{xy}, x) + 1 \geqslant d_G(y, x) + 1 \geqslant d_G(y, x)$.

Finally assume that $a_{xy} = a_x = a_y$. Let a, c, and d be the neighbours of a_x which belong to the shortest $u - a_x$, $x - a_x$, and $y - a_x$ paths, respectively, Fig. 2 (e). Since G is a block graph, the subgraph of G induced by the vertices a, c, and d has either at most one or three edges. In the first case we may assume that ac is not an edge of G. Then $d_G(u, x) = d_G(u, a_x) + d_G(a_x, x) \geqslant d_G(y, a_x) + d_G(a_x, x) \geqslant d_G(y, x)$. In the second case ac, ad, and cd are edges of G, and then the choice of u implies that $d_G(a, u) \geqslant d_G(c, x)$ and therefore $d_G(u, x) \geqslant d_G(u, a) + 1 + d_G(c, x) \geqslant d_G(y, d) + 1 + d_G(c, x) = d_G(y, x)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.



Figure 2: Block graphs rooted (hanged) at the vertex v

Since every tree is a block graph, from Theorem 1 we immediately have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Every tree is a hangable graph.*

3 Hangability of product graphs

We now turn our attention to the hangability of coronas of graphs. Let G and H be two graphs. The corona of G and H, denoted by $G \circ H$, is the graph with vertex set $V_G \cup (V_G \times V_H)$ and edge set $E_G \cup \bigcup_{v \in V_G}\{v(v, x) \colon x \in V_H\} \cup \bigcup_{v \in V_G}\{(v, x)(v, y) \colon xy \in E_H\}$. In Fig. 3, the corona $G \circ H$ is shown in which $G = K_1 + (K_1 \cup K_2)$ and $H = K_2$.

Basic properties of distances, diameters and sets of peripheral vertices of coronas are summarized in the following lemma.



Figure 3: The corona $(K_1 + (K_1 \cup K_2)) \circ K_2$

Lemma 1. *If $G \circ H$ is the corona of graphs G and H, where G is a connected graph of order at least two, then:*

(1) $d_{G\circ H}((u,x),(v,y)) = d_G(u,v) + 2$ *if* $u,v \in V_G$ *and* $x,y \in V_H$,
$d_{G\circ H}((u,x),v) = d_G(u,v) + 1$ *if* $u,v \in V_G$ *and* $x \in V_H$,
$d_{G\circ H}(u,v) = d_G(u,v)$ *if* $u,v \in V_G$;

(2) $d(G \circ H) = d(G) + 2$;

(3) $P_{G\circ H}(u) = P_{G\circ H}((u,x)) = P_G(u) \times V_H$ *if* $u \in V_G$ *and* $x \in V_H$;

(4) $P(G \circ H) = P(G) \times V_H$.

Proof. Since (1), (2), and (3) are obvious, we only prove (4). For this the inclusions $P(G \circ H) \subseteq P(G) \times V_H$ and $P(G) \times V_H \subseteq P(G \circ H)$ have to be proved.

Assume first that $a \in P(G \circ H)$. Let b be a vertex of $G \circ H$ for which $d_{G\circ H}(a,b) = d(G \circ H)$. From the definition of $G \circ H$ (and by (1)) it is obvious that $a = (v,x)$ and $b = (u,y)$ for some vertices v and u of G and some vertices x and y of H. Now, by (1) and (2) it follows that $d(G) + 2 = d(G \circ H) = d_{G\circ H}(a,b) = d_{G\circ H}((v,x),(u,y)) = d_G(v,u) + 2$. Thus $d_G(v,u) = d(G)$ and this implies that $v \in P(G)$. Therefore $a = (v,x) \in P(G) \times V_H$ and, consequently, $P(G \circ H) \subseteq P(G) \times V_H$.

Assume now that $(v,x) \in P(G) \times V_H$. Let $u \in V_G$ be such that $d_G(v,u) = d(G)$. Then, by (1), $d_{G\circ H}((v,x),(u,x)) = d_G(v,u) + 2 = d(G) + 2$. From this and from (2) it follows that $d_{G\circ H}((v,x),(u,x)) = d(G \circ H)$ and therefore $(v,x) \in P(G \circ H)$. This proves that $P(G) \times V_H \subseteq P(G \circ H)$.

Now we are ready to prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a corona of graphs to be hangable.

Theorem 2. *If G is a connected graph of order at least two and H is any graph, then the corona $G \circ H$ is a hangable graph if and only if G is hangable.*

Proof. Let G be a hangable graph. Let u and x be any vertex of G and H, respectively. Then $P_G(u) \subseteq P(G)$ and consequently $P_G(u) \times V_H \subseteq P(G) \times V_H$. Thus, by statements (3) and (4) of Lemma 1, $P_{G\circ H}(u) \subseteq P(G \circ H)$ and $P_{G\circ H}((u,x)) \subseteq P(G \circ H)$. This proves that graph $G \circ H$ is hangable.

Assume now that graph $G \circ H$ is hangable. Then $P_{G\circ H}(u) \subseteq P(G \circ H)$ and $P_{G\circ H}((u,x)) \subseteq P(G \circ H)$, where $u \in V_G$ and $(u,x) \in V_G \times V_H$ are two possible types of vertices of $G \circ H$. Now, since $P_{G\circ H}(u) = P_{G\circ H}((u,x)) = P_G(u) \times V_H$ and $P(G \circ H) = P(G) \times V_H$ (by statements (3) and (4) of Lemma 1), it follows that $P_G(u) \times V_H \subseteq P(G) \times V_H$. Consequently, $P_G(u) \subseteq P(G)$ and this proves that G is hangable.

Let G and H be graphs. The cartesian product of G and H, denoted by $G \,\square\, H$, is the graph with vertex set $V_G \times V_H$ and where two vertices (a,b) and (c,d) are adjacent if and only if $ac \in E_G$ and $b = d$ or $a = c$ and $bd \in E_H$. Distances, eccentricities, diameters and sets of peripheral vertices of cartesian products of graphs are discussed in the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *If $G \,\square\, H$ is the cartesian product of connected graphs G and H, then:*

(1) $d_{G\square H}((a,b),(c,d)) = d_G(a,c) + d_H(b,d)$, *if* $(a,b),\ (c,d) \in V_{G\square H}$;

(2) $e_{G\square H}(a,b) = e_G(a) + e_H(b)$, *if* $(a,b) \in V_{G\square H}$;

(3) $d(G \,\square\, H) = d(G) + d(H)$;

(4) $P_{G\square H}((a,b)) = P_G(a) \times P_H(b)$, *if* $(a,b) \in V_{G\square H}$;

(5) $P(G \,\square\, H) = P(G) \times P(H)$.

Proof. The statements (1)-(3) without any proof were presented in [2]. A formal proof of (1) was given in [4]. We prove the statements (2)–(5).

(2) Let us take vertices $a' \in P_G(a)$, $b' \in P_H(b)$, and $(c,d) \in P_{G\square H}((a,b))$. Then, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_G(a) + e_H(b) &= d_G(a,a') + d_H(b,b') = d_{G\square H}((a,b),(a',b')) \\ &\leqslant e_{G\square H}((a,b)) = d_{G\square H}((a,b),(c,d)) \\ &= d_G(a,c) + d_H(b,d) \leqslant e_G(a) + e_H(b) \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that $e_{G\square H}(a,b) = e_G(a) + e_H(b)$.

(3) Let a, a', b, b', (c,d), (e,f) be vertices of G, H, and $G \,\square\, H$, where $d_G(a,a') = d(G)$, $d_H(b,b') = d(H)$, and $d_{G\square H}((c,d),(e,f)) = d(G \,\square\, H)$, respectively. From the choice of vertices and by (1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(G) + d(H) &= d_G(a,a') + d_H(b,b') = d_{G\square H}((a,b),(a',b')) \\ &\leqslant d(G \,\square\, H) = d_{G\square H}((c,d),(e,f)) = d_G(c,e) + d_H(d,f) \\ &\leqslant d(G) + d(H). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $d(G \,\square\, H) = d(G) + d(H)$.

(4) The definition of periphery of a vertex and properties (1) and (2) validate the following chain equalities

$$\begin{aligned} P_{G\square H}(a,b) &= \{(x,y) \in V_{G\square H} \colon d_{G\square H}((a,b),(x,y)) = e_{G\square H}(a,b)\} \\ &= \{(x,y) \in V_{G\square H} \colon d_G(a,x) + d_H(b,y) = e_G(a) + e_H(b)\} \\ &= \{(x,y) \in V_{G\square H} \colon d_G(a,x) = e_G(a) \text{ and } d_H(b,y) = e_H(b)\} \\ &= \{(x,y) \in V_{G\square H} \colon x \in P_G(a) \text{ and } y \in P_H(b)\} \\ &= P_G(a) \times P_H(b). \end{aligned}$$

(5) From the definition of periphery of a graph and from (2) and (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P(G \square H) &= \{(a,b) \in V(G \square H) \colon e_{G\square H}((a,b)) = d(G \square H)\} \\ &= \{(a,b) \in V(G \square H) \colon e_G(a) + e_H(b) = d(G) + d(H)\} \\ &= \{(a,b) \in V(G \square H) \colon e_G(a) = d(G) \text{ and } e_H(b) = d(H)\} \\ &= \{(a,b) \in V(G \square H) \colon a \in P(G) \text{ and } b \in P(H)\} \\ &= P(G) \times P(H). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem specifies when the cartesian product of graphs is a hangable graph and shows how to construct one hangable graph from other graphs.

Theorem 3. *If G and H are connected graphs, then the cartesian product $G \square H$ is a hangable graph, if and only if G and H are hangable graphs.*

Proof. Let (a,b) be a vertex of $G \square H$. Since $P_{G\square H}((a,b)) = P_G(a) \times P_H(b)$ (by Lemma 2 (4)) and $P(G \square H) = P(G) \times P(H)$ (by Lemma 2 (5)), the result follows from the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} P_{G\square H}((a,b)) \subseteq P(G \square H) &\Leftrightarrow P_G(a) \times P_H(b) \subseteq P(G) \times P(H) \\ &\Leftrightarrow P_G(a) \subseteq P(G) \text{ and } P_H(b) \subseteq P(H). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. *The cartesian product $P_m \square P_n$ is a hangable graph for every paths P_m and P_n.*

The join of graphs G and H, denoted $G + H$, is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and H by adding an edge between each vertex of G and each vertex of H. The following theorem relates to the hangability of join graphs.

Theorem 4. *For graphs G and H, the join $G + H$ is a hangable graph if and only if either*

(1) *$G + H$ is a complete graph, or*

(2) *at most one vertex of $G + H$ is adjacent to every other vertex of $G + H$.*

Proof. Assume first that $G + H$ is a non-complete hangable graph. Let U be the set of all vertices, each of which is adjacent to every other vertex of $G + H$. It remains to show that U has at most one element. Suppose that U is non-empty. Then, since $e_{G+H}(x) = 1$ for every $x \in U$ and $e_{G+H}(y) = 2$ for every $y \in V_{G+H} - U$, it follows that $P(G + H) = V_{G+H} - U$. Now, since $P_{G+H}(x) = V_{G+H} - \{x\}$ for every $x \in U$, the hangability of $G + H$ implies that $V_{G+H} - \{x\}$ is a subset of $V_{G+H} - U$ $(= P(G + H))$ and this clearly forces that U has at most one element.

If $G + H$ is a complete graph, then $G + H$ is a hangable graph as we have already observed. If no vertex of $G + H$ is adjacent to every other vertex of $G + H$, then $e_{G+H}(x) = 2$ for every $x \in V_{G+H}$. Therefore $P(G + H) = V_{G+H}$ and this implies that $G + H$ is hangable. Finally assume that $G + H$ has exactly one vertex, say v_0, which is adjacent to every other vertex of $G + H$.

Then $e_{G+H}(v_0) = 1$ and $e_{G+H}(x) = 2$ for every $x \in V_{G+H} - \{v_0\}$. Consequently $P(G+H) = V_{G+H} - \{v_0\}$ and now it is obvious that $G+H$ is a hangable graph since $P_{G+H}(v_0) = V_{G+H} - \{v_0\} \subseteq P(G+H)$ and $P_{G+H}(x) \subset P(G+H)$ for every $x \in V_{G+H} - \{v_0\}$.

The last theorem allows us to obtain hangable graphs from other graphs. Using the join operation, it is also easy to describe how to embed (as an induced subgraph) a graph in a hangable supergraph.

Corollary 3. *Every graph is an induced subgraph of a hangable graph.*

Proof. Let H be a graph. We shall prove that H is an induced subgraph of some hangable graph. We may assume that H is not a hangable graph. Then H is not a complete graph. If no vertex of H is adjacent to every other vertex of H, then the join $K_1 + H$ has exactly one vertex adjacent to every other vertex of $K_1 + H$ and it follows from Theorem 4 (2) that $K_1 + H$ is a hangable supergraph of H. Now assume that H has a vertex adjacent to every other vertex of H. Let U be the set of all such vertices in H. Since H is not a complete graph, the set $V_H - U$ is nonempty and from the choice of U it follows that $H = H[U] + H[V_H - U]$. Now no vertex of the graph $G = (K_1 \cup H[U]) + H[V_H - U]$ (obtained from H by adding a new vertex and joining it by an edge to every vertex belonging to $V_H - U$) is adjacent to every other vertex of G and it follows from Theorem 4 (2) that G is a hangable supergraph of H.

4 Open problems

We conclude this paper with the list of problems.

Problem 1. *Determine all hangable subgraphs of the cartesian product $P_m \,\square\, P_n$.*

Problem 2. *Determine all hangable subgraphs of the n-cube Q_n.*

Problem 3. *Determine all graphs G such that G and $\overline{G}$ are hangable graphs.*

Problem 4. *Which self-complementary graph G (such that $G = \overline{G}$) is hangable?*

Problem 5. *For a connected graph G determine the smallest positive integer k such that the power G^k is a hangable graph.*

References

[1] F. Buckley and F. Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Redwood City 1990.

[2] F. Buckley and M. Lewinter, Graphs with all diametral paths through distant central nodes, Math. Comput. Modelling 17 (11) (1993), 35-41.

[3] J. L. Gross and J. Yellen, Graph Theory and Its Applications, Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton 2006.

[4] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton 2011.